Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,437	VAN RIETSCH	VAN RIETSCHOTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Camquy Truong	2195		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Camquy Truong</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Lawrence J. Merkel</u> .	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: <u>02 November 2006</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's represe	ntative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 34</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)□ was not reached. □	h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the allowable in accordance to examiner amendment.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has a OF ONE MONTH OR TERVIEW SUMMARY FO	Iready been filed, API HIRTY DAYS FROM DRM, WHICHEVER I	PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO	
	pH-	SUPERVISORY PATENT	FYGANINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	s signature, if require	d	